UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,225	03/23/2004	Robert P. Masleid	TRAN-P249	5029
7590 12/01/2006			EXAMINER	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			MAI, ANH D	
Third Floor Two North Mai	rket Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95113			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/808,225	MASLEID ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Anh D. Mai	2814		

•	Allii D. Iviai	2014	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	with the corresponder	ice address
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	HIS APPLICATION IN CONI	DITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the fol places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complia- time periods:	on the same day as filing a lowing replies: (1) an amend Notice of Appeal (with appe ance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	Notice of Appeal. To av dment, affidavit, or othe al fee) in compliance wi	void abandonment of er evidence, which ith 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing d	ate of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a)	e later than SIX MONTHS from or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) \	the mailing date of the fin	al rejection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER		27 OFD 4 426(a) and the	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lath may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for ter than three months after the	ng amount of the fee. The r reply originally set in the	appropriate extension fee final Office action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any example a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	tension thereof (37 CFR 41	.37(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejectio         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	consideration and/or search		itered because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	petter form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or sim	plifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a		•	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		of Non-Compliant Amer	ndment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		separate, timely filed a	mendment canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>See Final Rejection mailed 9/11/200</u>	6		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 32-38,47-62.	<u>o</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	but before or on the date of and sufficient reasons why	filing a Notice of Appe the affidavit or other evi	al will <u>not</u> be entered dence is necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fili entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed t showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess</li> </ol>	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections ur ary and was not earlier pre	nder appeal and/or app sented. See 37 CFR 4	ellant fails to provide a 1.33(d)(1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	tion of the status of the clair	ms after entry is below	or attached.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered See Final Rejection mailed 9/11/2006.	but does NOT place the ap	plication in condition fo	r allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s	s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s	). <u>9/12/2006</u>	
13.  Other:		- Ala	che d
		Produ	~ * Y *

ANH D. MAI / / PRIMARY EXAMINER